arXiv:1302.5991v4 [math.NT] 15 Apr 2013

New Results for Sorli's Conjecture on Odd Perfect Numbers

Jose Arnaldo B. Dris
Far Eastern University
Manila, Philippines
jabdris@yahoo.com.ph

April 16, 2013

Abstract

If $N = q^k n^2$ is an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form, then Sorli's conjecture predicts that $k = \nu_q(N) = 1$. In this article, we give a strategy for trying to prove that the inequality $\sigma(n) < q^k$ is equivalent to this conjecture. We conclude with some remaining open questions regarding k and the conjectured relationships between the components q^k and n.

1 Introduction

If N is a positive integer, then we write $\sigma(N)$ for the sum of the divisors of N. A number N is *perfect* if $\sigma(N) = 2N$. It is currently unknown whether there are infinitely many even perfect numbers, or whether any odd perfect numbers (OPNs) exist. Ochem and Rao recently proved [10] that, if N is an odd perfect number, then $N > 10^{1500}$ and that the largest component (i.e., divisor p^a with p prime) of N is bigger than 10^{62}. This improves on previous results by Brent, Cohen and te Riele [2] in 1991 ($N > 10^{300}$) and Cohen [3] in 1987 (largest component $p^a > 10^{20}$).

An odd perfect number $N = q^k n^2$ is said to be given in Eulerian form if q is prime with $q \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $\gcd(q, n) = 1$. (The number q is called the *Euler prime*, while the component q^k is referred to as the *Euler factor*. Note that, since q is prime and $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $q \geq 5$.)

We denote the abundancy index I of the positive integer x as

$$I(x) = \frac{\sigma(x)}{x}.$$

In his Ph. D. thesis, Sorli [11] conjectured that $k = \nu_q(N) = 1$.

In the M. Sc. thesis [7], it was conjectured that the components q^k and n are related by the inequality $q^k < n$. This conjecture was made on the basis of the result $I(q^k) < \sqrt[3]{2} < I(n)$.

2 Conditions Sufficient for Sorli's Conjecture

Some sufficient conditions for Sorli's conjecture were given in [5]. We reproduce these conditions here.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $N = q^k n^2$ *be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. If* $n < q$*, then* $k = 1$.

Remark 2.1. The proof of Lemma 2.1 follows from the inequality $q^k < n^2$ and the congruence $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ (see [5]). (Note the related inequality $I(q^k) < \sqrt{2} < I(n^2)$ for the abundancy indices of the components q^k and n^2.)

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $N = q^k n^2$ *be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. If*

$$\sigma(n) \le \sigma(q),$$

then $k = 1$.

Remark 2.2. We show here that, in fact, $\sigma(n) = \sigma(q)$ cannot be true. Under this assumption, it follows by Lemma 2.2 that $k = 1$. Therefore,

$$\sigma(n) = \sigma(q) = \sigma(q^k).$$

Now, if $N = q^k n^2$ is an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form, then (by Pomerance, et. al. [4]) we know that $\gcd(\sigma(q^k), \sigma(n^2)) > 1$. This contradicts $\gcd(\sigma(n), \sigma(n^2)) = 1$. Consequently, $\sigma(n) \neq \sigma(q)$.

Similarly, it can be shown that $\sigma(n) \neq q$. To this end, suppose that $\sigma(n) = q$. It follows that $n < \sigma(n) = q$, which by Lemma 2.1 implies that $k = 1$. Therefore, $\sigma(n) = q = q^k$. Since N is perfect, we have

$$\sigma(q^k)\sigma(n^2) = \sigma(N) = 2N = 2q^k n^2.$$

Consequently, $\gcd(q^k, \sigma(q^k)) = 1$ implies that $q^k \mid \sigma(n^2)$. Thus,

$$\gcd(\sigma(n), \sigma(n^2)) = q^k.$$

However, q is prime and $q \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ (in particular, we know that $k \ge 1$). This contradicts $\gcd(\sigma(n), \sigma(n^2)) = 1$.

Remark 2.3. By an argument similar to that in Remark 2.3, we can show that $\sigma(n) \neq \sigma(q^k)$ and $\sigma(n) \neq q^k$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $N = q^k n^2$ *be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. If*

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{q} < \frac{\sigma(q)}{n},$$

then $k = 1$.

Remark 2.4. Notice that, if

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{q} < \frac{\sigma(q)}{n},$$

then it follows that

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} \leq \frac{\sigma(n)}{q} < \frac{\sigma(q)}{n} \leq \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n}.$$

Consequently, by the contrapositive, if

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k},$$

then

$$\frac{\sigma(q)}{n} \leq \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} \leq \frac{\sigma(n)}{q}.$$

Remark 2.5. Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. Suppose that

$$\frac{\sigma(q)}{n} = \frac{\sigma(n)}{q}.$$

Then we know that:

$$q\sigma(q) = n\sigma(n).$$

Since $\gcd(q, n) = 1$, then $q \mid \sigma(n)$ and $n \mid \sigma(q)$. Therefore, it follows that $\frac{\sigma(q)}{n}$ and $\frac{\sigma(n)}{q}$ are equal positive integers.

This is a contradiction, as:

$$1 < I(q) = \frac{\sigma(q)}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{6}{5} < \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} < I(n) < I(q)I(n) = I(qn) < 2$$

which implies that:

$$1 < \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} < I(n) < I(q)I(n) = I(qn) = \left[\frac{\sigma(q)}{q}\right]\left[\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}\right] = \left[\frac{\sigma(q)}{n}\right]\left[\frac{\sigma(n)}{q}\right] < 2$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{q} \neq \frac{\sigma(q)}{n}.$$

Therefore, either:

$$\frac{\sigma(q)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q},$$

which implies $\sigma(q) < \sigma(n)$ and

$$\frac{\sigma(q)}{n} < \sqrt{2}, \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q}$$

or

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{q} < \frac{\sigma(q)}{n},$$

which implies $n < q$ and

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{q} < \sqrt{2}, \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{3}} < \frac{\sigma(q)}{n}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. Then $n < q$ if and only if $N < q^3$.*

Remark 2.6. A recent result by Acquaah and Konyagin [1] *almost* disproves $n < q$. They obtain (under the assumption $k = 1$) the inequality $q < n\sqrt{3}$.

3 New Results Related to Sorli's Conjecture

First, we reproduce the following lemma from [5], as we will be using these results later.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. The following series of inequalities hold:*

1. *If $k = 1$, then $1 < I(q^k) = I(q) \leq \frac{6}{5} < \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} < I(n) < 2$.*
2. *If $k \geq 1$, then $1 < I(q^k) < \frac{5}{4} < \sqrt{\frac{8}{5}} < I(n) < 2$.*

We have the following (slightly) stronger inequality from [5].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. Then $\left(I(q^k)\right)^2 < I(n^2)$.*

Proof. The proof follows from the inequality $I(q^k) < \sqrt[3]{2}$ and the equation $2 = I(q^k)I(n^2)$. □

Next, we derive the following improved lower bound for $I(q) + I(n)$ (i.e., a lower bound for $I(q^k) + I(n)$ when $k = 1$). (The proof is due to Abhra Abir Kundu, a student of the Indian Statistical Institute in Bangalore.)

Lemma 3.3. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. If $k = 1$, then*

$$I(q^k) + I(n) = I(q) + I(n) > \frac{3}{\sqrt[3]{2}}.$$

Proof. Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. If $k = 1$, then

$$I(q^k) + I(n) = I(q) + I(n) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{q} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{I(q)}} = 1 + \frac{1}{q} + \sqrt{\frac{2q}{q+1}}.$$

But
$$1+\frac{1}{q}+\sqrt{\frac{2q}{q+1}}=1+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2q}{q+1}}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2q}{q+1}}.$$
Applying the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have
$$1+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2q}{q+1}}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2q}{q+1}}\geq 3\sqrt[3]{\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2q}{q+1}}\right)^2}=\frac{3}{\sqrt[3]{2}}.$$
Equality holds if and only if
$$1+\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2q}{q+1}}$$
which gives $q=-2-\sqrt[3]{4}-\sqrt[3]{2}<0$. But since q is prime and $q\equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then $q\geq 5$. Therefore, equality does not hold, and we obtain
$$I(q^k)+I(n)=I(q)+I(n)>\frac{3}{\sqrt[3]{2}}.$$

□

Remark 3.1. Notice how the sharper lower bound
$$I(q)+I(n)>\frac{3}{\sqrt[3]{2}}\approx 2.3811$$
from Lemma 3.3 improves on the lower bound
$$I(q)+I(n)>1+\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}\approx 2.29099$$
from Lemma 3.1.

Remark 3.2. Since $1<I(q)<I(n)<2$, we have
$$I(q)+I(n)-1<I(q)I(n)=I(qn).$$
Thus, we have the sharper lower bound
$$\left(\frac{\sigma(q)}{n}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma(n)}{q}\right)=I(q)I(n)>\frac{3}{\sqrt[3]{2}}-1\approx 1.3811$$
from Lemma 3.3, which improves on the lower bound
$$I(qn)=I(q)I(n)>\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}\approx 1.29099$$
from Lemma 3.1.

We now state and prove the following theorem, which provides conditions equivalent to the conjecture mentioned in the introduction.

Theorem 3.1. *If $N = q^k n^2$ is an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form, then the following biconditional is true:*

$$q^k < n \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n).$$

In preparation for the proof of Theorem 3.1, we derive the following results.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. If*

$$I(q^k) + I(n) < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k},$$

then

$$q^k < n \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n).$$

Proof. Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. Assume that

$$I(q^k) + I(n) < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}.$$

It follows that

$$I(q^k) + I(n) < \left(\frac{q^k}{n}\right) I(q^k) + \left(\frac{n}{q^k}\right) I(n).$$

Consequently,

$$q^k n \left(I(q^k) + I(n)\right) < q^{2k} I(q^k) + n^2 I(n).$$

Thus,

$$n \left[q^k - n\right] I(n) < q^k \left[q^k - n\right] I(q^k).$$

If $q^k < n$, then $q^k - n < 0$. Hence,

$$q^k < n \Longrightarrow q^k I(q^k) < n I(n) \Longrightarrow \sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n).$$

If $n < q^k$, then $0 < q^k - n$. Hence,

$$n < q^k \Longrightarrow n I(n) < q^k I(q^k) \Longrightarrow \sigma(n) < \sigma(q^k).$$

Consequently, we have

$$q^k < n \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n),$$

as desired. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. If*

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} < I(q^k) + I(n),$$

then

$$q^k < n \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(n) < \sigma(q^k).$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3.5 is very similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4. $\square$

Now, assume that

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} < I(q^k) + I(n).$$

Consider the conclusion of the implication in Lemma 3.5 in light of the result $I(q^k) < I(n)$:

$$q^k < n \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(n) < \sigma(q^k).$$

If $q^k < n$, then since $I(q^k) < I(n)$ implies that

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} < \frac{q^k}{n},$$

we have

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} < \frac{q^k}{n} < 1,$$

which further implies that $\sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n)$. This contradicts Lemma 3.5. Similarly, if $\sigma(n) < \sigma(q^k)$, then

$$1 < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} < \frac{q^k}{n},$$

from which it follows that $n < q^k$. Again, this contradicts Lemma 3.5. Consequently, the inequality

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} < I(q^k) + I(n)$$

cannot be true. Therefore, the reverse inequality

$$I(q^k) + I(n) < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$$

must be true.

In other words (by Lemma 3.4), we have Theorem 3.1 (and the corollary that follows).

Corollary 3.1. *If $N = q^k n^2$ is an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form, then the following biconditional is true:*

$$q^k < n \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}.$$

Remark 3.3. Note that the equation

$$I(q^k) + I(n) = \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$$

does not hold. To see why, notice that this equation is equivalent to

$$n\left[q^k - n\right] I(n) = q^k \left[q^k - n\right] I(q^k).$$

Since $\gcd(q, n) = 1$, then $q^k \neq n$. Hence, we can cancel $\big(q^k - n\big)$ from both sides of the last equation, and thereby get

$$nI(n) = q^k I(q^k)$$

from which it follows that

$$\sigma(n) = \sigma(q^k).$$

This contradicts a result mentioned in Remark 2.3 (see also the related results in Remark 2.2).

We now give another condition that is equivalent to the author's conjecture (mentioned in the introduction).

Theorem 3.2. *If $N = q^k n^2$ is an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form, then the following biconditional is true:*

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}.$$

Proof. Let N be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. Then $N = q^k n^2$ where $q \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $\gcd(q, n) = 1$.

First, we show that

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$$

implies

$$\frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}.$$

Since $I(q^k) < I(n)$, we have that

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} < \frac{q^k}{n}.$$

On the other hand, the inequality

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$$

gives us that

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} < \frac{n}{q^k}.$$

This in turn implies that

$$\frac{q^k}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}.$$

Putting these inequalities together, we have the series

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} < \frac{q^k}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}.$$

Now consider the product

$$\left(\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} - \frac{q^k}{n}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)} - \frac{q^k}{n}\right).$$

This product is negative. Consequently we have

$$\left(\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}\right) - \left(\frac{q^k}{n}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}\right) + \left(\frac{q^k}{n}\right)^2 < 0,$$

from which it follows that

$$1 + \left(\frac{q^k}{n}\right)^2 < \left(\frac{q^k}{n}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}\right).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{q^k}{n} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}$$

as desired.

Next, assume that

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n}.$$

Since $I(q^k) < I(n)$, we obtain

$$\frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} < \frac{q^k}{n}.$$

Now consider the product

$$\left(\frac{n}{q^k} - \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)}\right)\left(\frac{q^k}{n} - \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)}\right).$$

This product is negative. Therefore, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{n}{q^k}\right)\left(\frac{q^k}{n}\right) - \left(\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)}\right)\left(\frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{q^k}{n}\right) + \left(\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)}\right)^2 < 0,$$

from which we get

$$1 + \left(\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)}\right)^2 < \left(\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)}\right)\left(\frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{q^k}{n}\right).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)} + \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} < \frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{q^k}{n}.$$

Together with the result in the previous paragraph, this shows that

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)}.$$

□

Remark 3.4. Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form.

Note that, in general, it is true that

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k},$$

and

$$\frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} < \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k},$$

while

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n}$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{\sigma(n)} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(q^k)} < \frac{q^k}{n} + \frac{n}{q^k} < \frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k}.$$

At this point, we dispose of the following lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. Then at least one of the following sets of inequalities is true:*

1. $q^k < \sigma(q^k) < n < \sigma(n)$
2. $n < \sigma(n) < q^k < \sigma(q^k)$
3. $q^k < n < \sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n)$
4. $n < q^k < \sigma(n) < \sigma(q^k)$

Lemma 3.6 is proved by listing all possible permutations of the set

$$\{q^k, \sigma(q^k), n, \sigma(n)\},$$

subject to the abundancy index constraint $1 < I(q^k) < I(n)$ and the biconditional in Theorem 3.1.

Now, note from Lemma 3.6 that if either one (but not both) of the following scenarios hold:

$$q^k < n < \sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n)$$
$$n < q^k < \sigma(n) < \sigma(q^k),$$

then Sorli's conjecture cannot follow (i.e., $k \neq 1$), because otherwise these scenarios would then violate the fact that q and $\sigma(q) = q + 1$ are consecutive (positive) integers. That is,

$$\{q^k < n < \sigma(q^k) < \sigma(n)\} \vee \{n < q^k < \sigma(n) < \sigma(q^k)\} \Longrightarrow k \neq 1.$$

In other words,

$$\{n < \sigma(q^k)\} \wedge \{q^k < \sigma(n)\} \Longrightarrow k \neq 1.$$

If we consider the contrapositive of the last statement, we get the following implication:

$$k = 1 \Longrightarrow \neg\{\{n < \sigma(q^k)\} \wedge \{q^k < \sigma(n)\}\}.$$

The conclusion from this last implication can be simplified as follows:

$$k = 1 \Longrightarrow \{(\sigma(q^k) < n) \vee (\sigma(n) < q^k)\}.$$

If $\sigma(q^k) < n$ and $\sigma(n) < q^k$ are both true, then the following lemma is violated:

Lemma 3.7. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. Then*

$$\frac{\sigma(q^k)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{q^k} > 2\sqrt[4]{\frac{8}{5}}.$$

Proof. The proof follows by a simple application of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, and by using the lower bounds for $I(q^k)$ and $I(n)$ from Lemma 3.1, for $k \geq 1$. ☐

Thus, by Lemma 3.6 and our previous considerations, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $N = q^k n^2$ be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. If $k = \nu_q(N) = 1$, then either $\sigma(q^k) < n$ or $\sigma(n) < q^k$ is true, but not both.*

Now, suppose that Sorli's conjecture is true. Then $k = 1$.

Since q and $\sigma(q)$ are consecutive integers, we have three cases to consider:

1. Case I: $q < \sigma(q) < n < \sigma(n)$

2. Case II: $n < q < \sigma(q) < \sigma(n)$
3. Case III: $n < \sigma(n) < q < \sigma(q)$

Note that Theorem 3.3 eliminates Case II. Thus, we consider the remaining cases:

1. Case I: $q < \sigma(q) < n < \sigma(n)$
2. Case III: $n < \sigma(n) < q < \sigma(q)$

under the assumption $k = 1$.

Remark 3.5. Note that these can be rewritten as:

1. Case I: $q^k < \sigma(q^k) < n < \sigma(n)$
2. Case III: $n < \sigma(n) < q^k < \sigma(q^k)$

if we consider $k = \nu_q(N) = 1$ as a "place-holder".

4 Final Analysis of the New Results

The new results presented in this article seem to imply the following conjecture (see [6]).

Conjecture 4.1. *Let* $N = q^k n^2$ *be an odd perfect number given in Eulerian form. Then the following biconditional is true:*

$$k = \nu_q(N) = 1 \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(n) < q^k.$$

Remark 4.1. It remains to show that the implication $k = \nu_q(N) = 1 \Longrightarrow \sigma(q^k) < n$ is not true. (Therefore, it suffices to disprove the conjunction $k = 1$ and $q = q^k < n$ in order to prove Conjecture 4.1.)

5 Conclusion

By focusing on the considerations surrounding Sorli's conjecture, the analysis of what would have been more than three cases is greatly simplified. An improvement to the currently known upper bound of $I(n) < 2$ will be considered a major breakthrough, and in conjunction with the results contained herein, may successfully rule out either one $\sigma(n) < q^k \vee \sigma(q^k) < n$.

In the sequel, a viable approach towards improving the inequality $I(n) < 2$ will be presented, which may necessitate the use of ideas from the paper [12].

6 Acknowledgments

The author sincerely thanks the anonymous referee(s) who have made several corrections and suggestions, which helped in improving the style of the paper. The author would like to thank Abhra Abir Kundu (`http://math.stackexchange.com/users/48639`) for his help in the proof of Lemma 3.3. The author also wishes to thank Carl Pomerance for pointing out the relevance of the paper [1]. The author also expresses his gratitude to Peter Acquaah for helpful e-mail exchanges on the topic. Lastly, the author expresses his gratitude to an anonymous reader "Pascal" who pointed out some "errors" in an earlier version of [5] (see [6]), thus encouraging him to come up with this sequel.

References

[1] P. Acquaah and S. Konyagin, On prime factors of odd perfect numbers, *Int. J. Number Theory* **08** (2012), 1537. Available at `http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S1793042112500935`.

[2] R. P. Brent, G. L. Cohen, H. J. J. te Riele, Improved techniques for lower bounds for odd perfect numbers, *Math. Comp.* **57** (1991), 857–868. Available at `http://oai.cwi.nl/oai/asset/1613/1613A.pdf`.

[3] G. L. Cohen, On the largest component of an odd perfect number, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **42** (1987), 280–286. Available at `http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S1446788700028251`.

[4] G. G. Dandapat, J. L. Hunsucker and C. Pomerance, Some new results on odd perfect numbers, *Pacific J. Math.* **57** (1975), 359–364. Available at `http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pjm/1102905990`.

[5] J. A. B. Dris, The Abundancy Index of Divisors of Odd Perfect Numbers, Journal of Integer Sequences **15** (September 3, 2012), Article 12.4.4. Available at `https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL15/Dris/dris8.html`.

[6] J. A. B. Dris, The Abundancy Index of Divisors of Odd Perfect Numbers, Journal of Integer Sequences **15** (April 9, 2012), Article 12.4.4. Available at `http://www.emis.de/journals/JIS/VOL15/Dris/dris6.html`.

[7] J. A. B. Dris, Solving the Odd Perfect Number Problem: Some Old and New Approaches, M. Sc. Math thesis, De La Salle University, Manila, Philippines, 2008. Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.1450`.

[8] J. A. B. Dris, A quick question on general mathematics. Available at `http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/303756`.

[9] J. A. B. Dris, A follow-up question on an arithmetic function satisfying a certain inequality. Available at `http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/306190`.

[10] P. Ochem and M. Rao, Odd perfect numbers are greater than 10^{1500}, *Math. Comp.* **81** (2012), 1869–1877. Available at `http://www.lirmm.fr/~ochem/opn/opn.pdf`.

[11] R. M. Sorli, Algorithms in the Study of Multiperfect and Odd Perfect Numbers, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Technology, Sydney, 2003. Available at `http://epress.lib.uts.edu.au/research/handle/2100/275`.

[12] J. Ward, Does Ten Have a Friend? Available at `http://arxiv.org/pdf/0806.1001v2.pdf`.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11A05; Secondary 11J25, 11J99.
Keywords: odd perfect number, Sorli's conjecture, Euler prime.
